

Learning Resources/Textbook Review Criteria Form

Title: Reading Plus Author: Mark Taylor
 Publisher: _____ Date of Publication: 2013 V4.0
 Format: (circle one) textbook book software video/DVD online resource maps globes,
 charts, textbook peripheral material other: _____
 Cost: 55⁰⁰ per student/per year (if software, indicate single user/ lab pack/network version)
 _____ OSX _____ Windows _____ other (specify) _____

Criteria/Considerations

Rating (Highest to Lowest)

Educational suitability and age appropriateness as related to accuracy of subject matter (4) 3 2 1 0

- Are the language and content appropriate for the intended audience?
- Does the resource address skills such as independent and critical thinking, problem solving and collaboration?
- Does the resource avoid religious, sexual, racial, or other cultural biases?
- Does the resource address a variety of modes, levels and styles of learning?
- Does the resource minimize commercial references, promotions, and endorsements?
- Does the resource support SOL, County curriculum goals and power standards?
- Is the resource useful in making interdisciplinary connections?

Timeliness (4) 3 2 1 0

- Is the information current?
- Does the material address issues which are of current interest?

Quality of writing/production (4) 3 2 1 0

- Is the resource well-written or produced?
- Has it won any awards or special recognition?
- Is the resource objective in its presentation?
- Are facts presented accurately?
- Does the resource have literary value?
- Are the text, text features, graphics and multimedia of high quality?
- Is the information well organized and the content logically developed?

Readability 4 3 2 1 0

- Is the vocabulary at the intended user's reading level?
- Are the concepts at the intended user's level of understanding?
- Is the layout appropriate for the reading level of the material?

Authoritativeness Reputation and significance of the author/artist, composer/producer, etc. 4 3 2 1 0

- Is the writer/ producer, author/artist, composer, etc. an authority in the subject area?
- Is the publisher reputable?
- Has the creator won any awards or special recognition for this or other works?

Supplemental sources 4 3 2 1 0

- Are information sources well documented?
- Does the resource include supplemental resources for teachers?
- Is there adequate technical (local and vendor) support available for software?

Favorable recommendations based on preview and examination of resource by professional personnel 4 3 2 1 0

- Have you personally reviewed the material recently?
- Have you received recommendations from colleagues?

Favorable reviews found in standard selection sources 4 3 2 1 0

- Has this resource been favorably reviewed by experts in the field?
- Does the resource appear on one or more reputable book lists or selection aids? (Two favorable reviews are recommended.)

High degree of potential user appeal 4 3 2 1 0

- Is the resource relevant to the intended user's experience?
- Does the resource provide intellectual challenge?
- Does the resource satisfy the intended user's curiosity and encourage the use of imagination?
- Does the resource allow students to make choices and provide appropriate feedback?

Comments:

Professional Staff Member(s): Tina Goode

 8/25/2020

School: Burley Middle School

Grade(s)/Subject: ELA - RTI

Principal's Name: James Asher

Principal's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Original to principal and copies to originating teacher(s) and instructional coordinator

Learning Resources/Textbook Review Criteria Form

Title: Reading Plus Author: Mark Taylor
Publisher: Date of Publication: 2013 v4.0
Format: (circle one) textbook book software video/DVD online resource maps globes, charts, textbook peripheral material other:
Cost: 55.00 per student/per year (if software, indicate single use/ lab pack/network version)
OSX Windows other (specify)

Criteria/Considerations Rating (Highest to Lowest)

Educational suitability and age appropriateness as related to accuracy of subject matter (4) 3 2 1 0

- Are the language and content appropriate for the intended audience?
Does the resource address skills such as independent and critical thinking, problem solving and collaboration?
Does the resource avoid religious, sexual, racial, or other cultural biases?
Does the resource address a variety of modes, levels and styles of learning?
Does the resource minimize commercial references, promotions, and endorsements?
Does the resource support SOL, County curriculum goals and power standards?
Is the resource useful in making interdisciplinary connections?

Timeliness (4) 3 2 1 0

- Is the information current?
Does the material address issues which are of current interest?

Quality of writing/production (4) 3 2 1 0

- Is the resource well-written or produced?
Has it won any awards or special recognition?
Is the resource objective in its presentation?
Are facts presented accurately?
Does the resource have literary value?
Are the text, text features, graphics and multimedia of high quality?
Is the information well organized and the content logically developed?

Readability (4) 3 2 1 0

- Is the vocabulary at the intended user's reading level?
- Are the concepts at the intended user's level of understanding?
- Is the layout appropriate for the reading level of the material?

Authoritativeness Reputation and significance of the author/artist, composer/producer, etc. (4) 3 2 1 0

- Is the writer/ producer, author/artist, composer, etc. an authority in the subject area?
- Is the publisher reputable?
- Has the creator won any awards or special recognition for this or other works?

Supplemental sources (4) 3 2 1 0

- Are information sources well documented?
- Does the resource include supplemental resources for teachers?
- Is there adequate technical (local and vendor) support available for software?

Favorable recommendations based on preview and examination of resource by professional personnel (4) 3 2 1 0

- Have you personally reviewed the material recently?
- Have you received recommendations from colleagues?

Favorable reviews found in standard selection sources (4) 3 2 1 0

- Has this resource been favorably reviewed by experts in the field?
- Does the resource appear on one or more reputable book lists or selection aids? (Two favorable reviews are recommended.)

High degree of potential user appeal (4) 3 2 1 0

- Is the resource relevant to the intended user's experience?
- Does the resource provide intellectual challenge?
- Does the resource satisfy the intended user's curiosity and encourage the use of imagination?
- Does the resource allow students to make choices and provide appropriate feedback?

Comments:

Professional Staff Member(s): James Asher

School: Burley Middle School Grade(s)/Subject: Admin.

Principal's Name: James Asher

Principal's Signature:  Date: 8/25/20

Original to principal and copies to originating teacher(s) and instructional coordinator

Learning Resources/Textbook Review Criteria Form

Title: Reading Plus Author: Mark Taylor
 Publisher: Reading Plus Date of Publication: 2013 v.4.0
 Format: (circle one) textbook book software video/DVD online resource maps globes,
 charts, textbook peripheral material other: _____
 Cost: 55⁰⁰ per student (if software, indicate single user lab pack/network version)
per year
 _____ OS X _____ Windows _____ other (specify) _____

Criteria/Considerations

Rating (Highest to Lowest)

Educational suitability and age appropriateness as related to accuracy of subject matter

4 3 2 1 0

- Are the language and content appropriate for the intended audience?
- Does the resource address skills such as independent and critical thinking, problem solving and collaboration?
- Does the resource avoid religious, sexual, racial, or other cultural biases?
- Does the resource address a variety of modes, levels and styles of learning?
- Does the resource minimize commercial references, promotions, and endorsements?
- Does the resource support SOL, County curriculum goals and power standards?
- Is the resource useful in making interdisciplinary connections?

Timeliness

4 3 2 1 0

- Is the information current?
- Does the material address issues which are of current interest?

Quality of writing/production

4 3 2 1 0

- Is the resource well-written or produced?
- Has it won any awards or special recognition?
- Is the resource objective in its presentation?
- Are facts presented accurately?
- Does the resource have literary value?
- Are the text, text features, graphics and multimedia of high quality?
- Is the information well organized and the content logically developed?

Readability (4) 3 2 1 0

- Is the vocabulary at the intended user's reading level?
- Are the concepts at the intended user's level of understanding?
- Is the layout appropriate for the reading level of the material?

Authoritativeness Reputation and significance of the author/artist, composer/producer, etc. (4) 3 2 1 0

- Is the writer/ producer, author/artist, composer, etc. an authority in the subject area?
- Is the publisher reputable?
- Has the creator won any awards or special recognition for this or other works?

Supplemental sources (4) 3 2 1 0

- Are information sources well documented?
- Does the resource include supplemental resources for teachers?
- Is there adequate technical (local and vendor) support available for software?

Favorable recommendations based on preview and examination of resource by professional personnel (4) 3 2 1 0

- Have you personally reviewed the material recently?
- Have you received recommendations from colleagues?

Favorable reviews found in standard selection sources (4) 3 2 1 0

- Has this resource been favorably reviewed by experts in the field?
- Does the resource appear on one or more reputable book lists or selection aids? (Two favorable reviews are recommended.)

High degree of potential user appeal (4) 3 2 1 0

- Is the resource relevant to the intended user's experience?
- Does the resource provide intellectual challenge?
- Does the resource satisfy the intended user's curiosity and encourage the use of imagination?
- Does the resource allow students to make choices and provide appropriate feedback?

Comments:

Professional Staff Member(s): Josh Walton
 School: Walton Middle School Grade(s)/Subject: 6-8
 Principal's Name: Josh Walton
 Principal's Signature: _____ Date: 08/25/20

Original to principal and copies to originating teacher(s) and instructional coordinator